



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>Ophthalmology Research: An international Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_OR_4127
Title of the Manuscript:	Retinal astrocytoma: a supportive finding in Tuberous sclerosis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The introduction is too long . For example lines 40-46 and 54-56 can be extremely shortened and even omitted. Other irrelevant data concerning this specific article should also be omitted . 2. Lines 86 and 121. Negative for what? TS? 3. Giving the IOP, axial length and retinscopy findings adds no relevant information to this paper. 4. What about US? OCT? If done should be added. 5. The MRI's are very small and one cannot exactly identify the lesions mentioned in the legend, Arrows should be added.	Introduction has been shortened by omitting the irrelevant data. Lines 86 and 121 meant no similar history was present in the family and these lines have now been removed. IOP, axial length and retinscopy findings were mentioned to support the fact that the eyes were otherwise healthy. The US was done and findings has been documented, unfortunately, OCT was not done. The MRI has been enlarged and arrows has been added to show MRI lesions.



SDI Review Form 1.6

	6. This is a study describes 2 children with TS. The figures are very illustrative but I don't recognize any new important information this paper adds . Retinal astrocytomas are a known ocular finding in patients with TS.	This manuscript contains the review of 2 cases of TS. These rare cases have rarely been reported from our subcontinent and it could distribute important knowledge to our colleagues of other departments that meticulous eye examination can be very rewarding to establish the diagnosis whenever TS is suspected because retinal astrocytoma is 1 one of the 11 major and 9 minor diagnostic criterias of TS.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The figures are very nice. I think figures 1+5 should be united and also 2+3+6 2. OPD (line 76) the abb. Should be explained.	The figures 1,2,3,4 are of case 1 and 5,6 belong to case 2, hence they are shown separately. The word OPD means outpatient department and has been removed from the text.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1. This paper suffers from many serious language issues (too many to be mentioned) and should be edited Special note: Figure 2 contain a full face photograph of a child. The eyes should be hidden since the main finding is on the skin.	Edition done. The identity of the child has been hidden by putting a black tape over the eyes.